



# Level 2 Industrial Case Study

## Chainsaw Blade

Claes Fredriksson<sup>1</sup>, Markus Hantschmann<sup>1</sup>, and Markus Dumschat<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ansys Materials Education Division, Cambridge

<sup>2</sup>Ravensburg-Weingarten University of Applied Sciences

First published: 2019

## 1. Material Selection in Design


For many products, mechanical performance is important and must be considered during their design. Some applications are dependent on high specific strength or specific stiffness, traditionally provided by metal alloys of some kind. Metals also have attractive fracture toughness and service temperature properties. For certain applications, it might be possible to find polymers that are more competitive, particularly in terms of cost, weight and corrosion resistance. In this Case Study, we look at materials for a lightweight blade of a chainsaw, in which all of the above-mentioned properties are important and must be considered in the design process.



The systematic way to select materials by Ashby et al. involves identifying the Function, Objectives and Constraints for the design. It is vital to determine which mechanical properties are key to the performance. The blade has to endure forces both in the cutting direction and sideways, perpendicular to the cutting direction. This results in flexural (bending) loads on the blade. Strength will, of course, be one of the crucial parameters in the sense that the blade must be strong enough. However, it is not this property that limits the performance. Rather, like most equipment used for sports and racing (skis, rackets, bikes etc) it is the Stiffness that we want to promote. Our case study highlights stiffness and mass.

## 2. How to tackle the Problem

In Granta EduPack, the situation can be mechanically likened to a fixed-end beam loaded in bending by horizontal or vertical forces, as shown to the left. A translation of the problem involves specification of the function, constraints and the objective of the selection.



**Function:** Beam of length L fixed at one end

Mechanical constraints on the bending stiffness can then be used to eliminate a free design parameter, which enables a selection based on material properties alone:

**Constraints:** Bending stiffness > S\* (both directions)


This lower limit on S gives a minimum blade height: It is worth mentioning that the constant, C, varies for

$$S_{Vertical} = \frac{F}{\delta} = \frac{CEI}{L^3} = \frac{CEbh^3}{12L^3} \quad h_V = \left( \frac{12SL^3}{CEb} \right)^{1/3}$$

$$S_{Horizontal} = \frac{F}{\delta} = \frac{CEI}{L^3} = \frac{CEb^3h}{12L^3} \quad h_H = \frac{12SL^3}{CEb^3}$$

m=mass	C=constant (3-8)
ρ=density	E=Young's Modulus
S=stiffness (F/δ)	I=second moment of area
δ=deflection	$I_{vertical} = bh^3/12$
δ=FL <sup>3</sup> /CEI	$I_{horizontal} = b^3h/12$

point loads or distributed loads (and combinations thereof) on the beam. Constants will, however, not affect property charts and material property-based selection.



Other design constraints are:

- Min/Max service temperature: -40°C / +110°C
- Resistance to water (fresh): excellent
- Resistance to lubricating oil: excellent
- Resistance to petrol (gasoline): excellent
- No ceramics, foams, or natural materials in subset
- Mechanical properties: considered separately

To derive the Performance Index, we substitute h from each of the respective constraints, into the objective function to eliminate this free design parameter, the blade height (b is fixed by the chain-width).

**Objective:** Minimize mass,  $m=ALp=bhLp$

This yields two performance indices, one for each case:

$$m_V = L^2 \left( \frac{12Sb^2}{C} \right)^{1/3} \left( \frac{\rho}{E^{1/3}} \right) \quad m_H = \left( \frac{12SL^4}{Cb^2} \right) \left( \frac{\rho}{E} \right)$$


These two expressions have been arranged as to the material properties at the end. The convention, using the basic Ashby selection methodology, is to define the Material index (Performance index for the

$$M_V = \frac{E^{1/3}}{\rho} \quad M_H = \frac{E}{\rho}$$

material) as the reciprocal of these ratios. We obtain: These correspond to index lines with slopes 3 ( $M_V$ ) and 1 ( $M_H$ ), respectively, in a property chart of  $E$  vs  $r$ , as seen below where both these lines have been introduced.

### 3. Benchmarking the Performance

In order to compare the relevant properties of an existing blade material with other material candidates, “benchmarking”, we add a reference record to this property chart, called “Blade steel”. We will use Young’s Modulus: 208 – 216 GPa and Density: 7800 – 7900 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, from a Low alloy steel, AISI 4140, oil quenched & tempered at 315°C. This is similar to a real alloy used in chainsaw blades and to Stainless Steel in the Level 2 database of Granta EduPack. Adding data can be done by right-clicking over the chart and completing the




empty record.

If index lines for maximization, with slopes 1 and 3, are added to the chart, just below the reference, materials that fall below this reference are screened out. If the vertical performance index is considered (slope=3), we can see that Ni based alloys and superalloys, Ti, Al, and Mg alloys perform as good as the reference and CFRP performs even better. The horizontal performance index (slope=1) shows that the materials perform

better in the order of Ti, Al, Mg and CFRP. The vertical index is considered more important, since the blade is thinner and more susceptible to deformation in this direction.


### 4. Reality Check

So far, we have considered lightweighting, limited by stiffness and taking durability into account. There are several other aspects that need to be considered in selecting materials, though. Cost, being one of the most important. A second selection stage can be added in combination with the first. The cost performance index to maximize for stiffness-limited design is:  $M =$



$E / (\text{cm} \cdot p)$

From this Bar chart, we can see that material cost considerations favor Al alloys Mg alloys and stainless steel over composites and polymers. It is likely, that this consideration greatly influenced currently used materials. In real chainsaw blades, the strength relies on structural design. There are several kinds of blades in real use which differ by internal structure, such as cavity filler, material combinations and the



manufacturing processes

### 5. Conclusions

In this Level 2 industrial case study, we have explored the systematic way to understand materials for a chainsaw blade using Granta EduPack. Considering materials like Ti, Al, Mg or CFRP may improve the performance of the chainsaw blade in terms of a stiffness-limited design. Engineering Design, however, is always a balance of various material properties, costs, manufacturing processes and degree of innovation.

© 2021 ANSYS, Inc. All rights reserved.

## Use and Reproduction

The content used in this resource may only be used or reproduced for teaching purposes; and any commercial use is strictly prohibited.

## Document Information

This case study is part of a set of teaching resources to help introduce students to materials, processes and rational selections.

## Ansys Education Resources

To access more undergraduate education resources, including lecture presentations with notes, exercises with worked solutions, microprojects, real life examples and more, visit [www.ansys.com/education-resources](http://www.ansys.com/education-resources).

**ANSYS, Inc.**  
Southpointe  
2600 Ansys Drive  
Canonsburg, PA 15317  
U.S.A.  
724.746.3304  
[ansysinfo@ansys.com](mailto:ansysinfo@ansys.com)

If you've ever seen a rocket launch, flown on an airplane, driven a car, used a computer, touched a mobile device, crossed a bridge or put on wearable technology, chances are you've used a product where Ansys software played a critical role in its creation. Ansys is the global leader in engineering simulation. We help the world's most innovative companies deliver radically better products to their customers. By offering the best and broadest portfolio of engineering simulation software, we help them solve the most complex design challenges and engineer products limited only by imagination.

visit [www.ansys.com](http://www.ansys.com) for more information

Any and all ANSYS, Inc. brand, product, service and feature names, logos and slogans are registered trademarks or trademarks of ANSYS, Inc. or its subsidiaries in the United States or other countries. All other brand, product, service and feature names or trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

© 2021 ANSYS, Inc. All Rights Reserved.